HATTIESBURG BUILDING & TRADES COUNCIL ET AL. v. BROOME, DOING BUSINESS AS BROOME CONSTRUCTION & MAINTENANCE CO., ET AL.

ON PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO THE SUPREME COURT OF MISSISSIPPI.

No. 669. Decided April 27, 1964.

State court had no jurisdiction to enjoin the arguably unfair labor practice of union picketing at a secondary employer's premises since the National Labor Relations Board had jurisdiction, its standards being satisfied by reference to the operations of either the primary, or as here, the secondary employer.

Certiorari granted; 247 Miss. 458, 153 So. 2d 695, reversed.

Ralph N. Jackson for petitioners.

Richard C. Keenan for respondents.

Solicitor General Cox, Arnold Ordman, Dominick L. Manoli and Norton J. Come for the United States, as amicus curiae, in support of the petition.

PER CURIAM.

After finding that the primary employer was not in commerce and ruling that the pre-emption rule of San Diego Building Trades Council v. Garmon, 359 U. S. 236, was therefore not applicable, the state court enjoined picketing at the premises of the secondary employer. The judgment must be reversed. The jurisdictional standards established by the National Labor Relations Board (see 23 N. L. R. B. Ann. Rep. 8 (1958)) may be satisfied by reference to the business operations of either the primary or the secondary employer. Truck Drivers Local No. 649, 93 N. L. R. B. 386; Teamsters Local No. 554, 110 N. L. R. B. 1769; Madison Bldg. & Const. Trades Council, 134 N. L. R. B. 517. Here, as the record clearly

126

shows, the secondary employer's operations met the jurisdictional requirements. Since the union's activities in this case were arguably an unfair labor practice, Sailors' Union of the Pacific, 92 N. L. R. B. 547, the state court had no jurisdiction to issue the injunction. San Diego Building Trades Council v. Garmon, 359 U. S. 236; Construction Laborers v. Curry, 371 U. S. 542. Accordingly, the petition for certiorari is granted and the judgment is reversed.